Welcome

➔ Hudson Hollister – @hudsonhollister
   Executive Director, Data Coalition

➔ Ann Ebberts – @anneebberts
   CEO, Association of Government Accountants

➔ Ted Davies – @actiac
   Chairman, ACT-IAC
Who are we?
What do we want?
How did we get here?
How could we fail?
How will we succeed?
633 People:

➔ Federal Leaders representing nearly every agency
➔ Technology Companies eager to republish, analyze, and automate
➔ Transparency Advocates seeking access to spending information
➔ Grantees and Contractors eager to automate compliance
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Open Data for Spending

➔ Data Standards to make federal spending searchable as one data set
➔ Data Publication for universal access
## Short Term Benefits

- **Citizens**
  - Taxpayers, Constituents, & Voters
  - Insight into how federal tax revenue is spent at federal, state, and local levels.
  - Ability to explain spending to citizens with locally-relevant data.
- **Watchdogs**
  - Congress, GAO, Agency IGs
  - Insight into how agencies invest federal funds appropriated by Congress.
- **Agencies**
  - Leadership & Financial Management
  - Time and cost savings from automated / streamlined reporting and processes.
  - Inspections into spending to improve effectiveness and reduce improper payments.
- **Agencies**
  - Program Management
  - Simplified and consolidated audit forms and systems to review.
- **Grantees**
  - (All Grantees including states.)
  - Centralized views of available awards and total awards to particular states.
  - Elimination of duplicative reporting processes.
- **Contractors**
  - Improved market analysis.

## Medium Term Benefits

- **A public view of the full federal spending life cycle, from budget to disbursement.**
- **Oversight analytics with the potential to recover $10 billion per year.**
- **A real-time view of the full federal spending life cycle.**
- **Comprehensive, contextual connections between performance and spending.**
- **Life cycle transparency informs Congressional relations.**
- **Automatic identification of duplicative programs and awards.**
- **Enhanced service delivery resulting from savings and cost reallocation.**
- **Automated preparation and submission of grant and Single Audit reports.**
- **More efficient sub-awardee management for states.**

## Long Term Benefits

- **More input on how federal tax revenue is spent.**
- **Automated execution of Congressional spending decisions.**
- **Utilize citizen feedback and input to inform spending decisions.**
- **Automated spend management, integrated with strategy decisions.**
- **Large-scale IT simplification.**
- **Analytics improve, and accelerate, decision making on awardee selection and program changes.**
- **Connections between federal, state, and local spending transparency platforms.**
- **Analytics direct development strategy and mission.**
- **Analytics inform new tools, products, and services.**
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History Lesson

➔ 1789: Budgets and Appropriations
➔ 1990: Accrual-Based Accounting
➔ 2006: Publishing Grants and Contracts
➔ 2014: Bringing it Together
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How will we succeed?
Two Existential Threats

➔ Open data reporting must replace legacy reporting
➔ DUNS monopoly
Who are we?
What do we want?
How did we get here?
How could we fail?
How will we succeed?
Special Congressional DATA Demo

➔ Rep. Mark Meadows – @repmarkmeadows
United States House of Representatives

➔ Bryce Pippert – @brycepippert
Booz Allen Hamilton (moderator)
Special Congressional DATA Act Demonstration

Congressman Mark Meadows (NC 11th) @RepMarkMeadows

Bryce Pippert (Booz Allen Hamilton) @BrycePippert

#DATAActSummit
visibility = accountability & opportunity
major milestones achieved

April/May 2014: Passed by Congress, signed by President Obama

August 2015: OMB and Treasury finalized 57 data standards
insight & innovation ahead

spending data...
A view into the future of connected spending data...
Questions?

Congressman Mark Meadows (NC 11th) @RepMarkMeadows

Bryce Pippert (Booz Allen Hamilton) @BrycePippert

#DATAActSummit
Congressional Keynote

➔ Senator Mark Warner – @markwarner
United States Senate

➔ Rep. Darrell Issa – @darrellissa
United States House of Representatives

➔ Steve Ressler – @steveressler
GovLoop (moderator)
DATA Act Leadership Panel

Sponsored by PwC

➔ Dave Lebryk – @ustreasury
Fiscal Assistant Secretary, Treasury Department

➔ Dave Mader – @ombpress
Controller, White House OMB

➔ Christina Ho – @ustreasury
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Financial Transparency, Treasury Department

➔ Karen F. Lee – @ombpress
Branch Chief, White House OMB Office of Federal Financial Management

➔ Joe Kull – @pwc_llc
PwC (moderator)
DATA Act Implementation Progress

May 26, 2016

Christina Ho, Department of the Treasury
Karen F. Lee, Office of Management and Budget
Meeting Agenda

- Policy Guidance, Data Definition Standards, and Clarifications (OMB)
- DATA Act Information Model Schema (DAIMS) Version 1.0 Overview (Treasury)
OMB M-15-12


- Make agency-level financial data available for publication

- Implement the data definition standards

- M-15-12 outlines policy requirements to improve data quality and adhere to DATA Act:
  - Requires linking of financial and management systems
  - Lowers award reporting requirement to micro purchase threshold
Data Element Standards & White Papers

Last summer, OMB and Treasury issued 57 standards that agencies are now implementing to improve the consistent display of data.

✓ **May 8, 2015:** OMB and Treasury issued 11 final financial data definition standards required by the DATA Act and an additional (4) award-specific data definition standards.

✓ **By August 2015:** To improve the quality of award-specific data elements currently reported to USAspending.gov, an additional set of data definition standards related to award-specific characteristics (e.g. purpose of award, agency activity address code) were identified and finalized.

These elements were the result of a robust process which included input from the Federal community and a public comment period. The final white papers for each of the finalized elements can be found on GitHub: [http://fedspendingtransparency.github.io/data-elements/](http://fedspendingtransparency.github.io/data-elements/)
OMB released a Controller Alert entitled *DATA Act Implementation and Offices for Financial Assistance Awards* on December 4, 2015. Controller Alerts are meant to inform the CFO community of key issues.

- Requirement to comply with data standards
- Requirement to link award and account level data
- Funding and Awarding Offices for Financial Assistance Awards

The full text of the Controller Alert can be found here: [cfo.gov](http://cfo.gov)
The DATA Act Schema provides a standardized definition and conceptual model for the information relevant to the domain and public reporting of U.S. Federal spending.

The Schema informs:

- How data providers select and organize information to submit
- What information is collected, processed, and how it is related
- How consumers can access and interpret information
DATA Act Schema Components

- **Reporting Submission Specification (RSS)** – includes a listing of the data elements with specific instructions for federal agencies to submit content in the appropriate format.

- **Interface Definition Document (IDD)** – contains a listing of the elements, with supporting metadata to understand what data will be pulled from government-wide systems and from agency financial assistance systems.

- **Information Flow** – provides an overview of the reporting timeframes and sources of the data.

- **DAIMS Diagrams** – visual representations of how the data elements from the RSS and IDD fit together in context.

- **Online Data Dictionary** – a comprehensive list of data elements with definitions and minimal metadata, like definition and data category.

- **XBRL Schema Files** – machine-readable version of the data standard that includes accounting-related and award-related content.
File A through F are aligned to the DATA Act objectives

- File A contains appropriation summary level data that are aligned to the SF133 reporting
- File B includes obligation and outlay information at the program activity and object class level
- File C reports the obligations at the award and object class level
- File D1 and D2 report the award and awardee details that are linked to File C
- File E includes the additional prime awardee attribute.
- File F includes sub-award information
Information Flow

**Additional Future Reporting Workflow**
Quarterly Reporting – DATA Act

- Agencies Financial System(s)
- Award Linkage
- DATA Act Broker
  - Agency Uploaded Data
    - (A) Appropriations Account
    - (B) Object Class and Program Activity
    - (C) Award Financial
      - In accordance with SF 133/OMB Circular A-11/USGGL
      - Defined in the Reporting Submission Specification (RSS)
      - Validations
        - Field level for A, B, and C Cross validation for A to F
      - Agency Certify Data
  - Broker Extracted Data
    - Award and Awardee Attributes
      - (D1) Award and Awardee Attributes (Procurement)
      - (D2) Award and Awardee Attributes (Financial Assistance)
      - (E) Additional Awardee Attributes
      - (F) Sub-award Attributes
        - Defined in the Interface Definition Document (IDD)
- Public Website
  - Public Website – DATA Act Quarterly Reporting

**Existing Reporting Workflow**
Daily/Bi-weekly Reporting - FFATA

- Agencies Awards System(s)
- Award Submission Portal (ASP) Data
  - New DATA Act Format: Twice Monthly
  - Existing format: Daily
- Contractor or Vendor (Highly Compensated Officer Data)
- FSRS
- FPDS
- Public Website – Daily/Twice Monthly Data Reporting

*Note: For May 2017 implementation, D1 will be extracted from the USAspending site which pulls data from FPDS daily. D1 will be pulled from FPDS directly in the long term.*
DATA Act Element Relationships

**Account Data**
(All Federal Spending)

(A) Appropriations Account
- Level: Treasury Account Symbol, excluding sub-account (TAS)
- Reporting cadence: Quarterly
- Source: Agency financial management systems

Example elements:
- Budget authority appropriated (amount)
- Unobligated balance (amount)
- Amount of other budgetary resources

(B) Object Class and Program Activity
- Level: TAS & program activity & object class
- Reporting cadence: Quarterly
- Source: Agency financial management systems

Example elements:
- Obligated amount (by program activity & object class)
- Outlay (gross)

---

**Award Data**
(procurement and financial assistance)

(C) Award Financial
- Level: TAS & object class & award ID
- Reporting cadence: Quarterly
- Source: Agency financial management systems

Example elements:
- Award linkage
- Transaction obligated amount
- TAS
- Object class
- (Program activity code - optional)

(D1) Award and Awardee Attributes (Procurement)
(DATA Act Broker Extract: Quarterly)
- Level: Linked at award document level (includes award modifications)
- Reporting cadence: Quarterly extraction from FPDS by data broker; Daily submission to FPDS (in existing format)
- Source: FPDS/agency procurement management systems

Example elements: awardee/recipient unique identifier, award characteristics information, awarding entity information, award amount information, awardee legal entity name and address

(D2) Award and Awardee Attributes (Financial Assistance)
(DATA Act Broker Extract: Quarterly)
- Level: Linked at award document level (includes award modifications)
- Reporting cadence: Quarterly extraction from ASP by data broker; Bi-weekly submission to ASP (in new format)
- Source: ASP/agency financial assistance management systems

Example elements: awardee/recipient unique identifier, award characteristics information, awarding entity information, award amount information, awardee legal entity name and address

(E) Additional Awardee Attributes
(DATA Act Broker Extract: Quarterly)
- Level: Awardee/Recipient Unique Identifier
- Reporting cadence: Quarterly extraction from SAM by data broker
- Source: SAM (Highly Compensated Officer Data)

Example elements: Awardee/recipient top 5 highly compensated officers

(F) Sub-award Attributes
(DATA Act Broker Extract: Quarterly)
- Level: Award ID & sub-award number
- Reporting cadence: Quarterly extraction from FRS by data broker
- Source: FRS

Example elements: Sub-award number and amount
DAIMS Diagram Example

File A - Appropriations Amount

Legend

- Conceptual Model Container
- Identifying Element
- Attribute
- Data Point
- Data Point With Context
- Date Context
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grouping</th>
<th>Data Element Label</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File A - Appropriations Account</td>
<td>AllocationTransferAgencyIdentifier</td>
<td>The allocation agency identifies the department or agency that is receiving funds through an allocation (non-expenditure) transfer.</td>
<td>Conditional per Validation Rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File A - Appropriations Account</td>
<td>AgencyIdentifier</td>
<td>The agency code identifies the department or agency that is responsible for the account.</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File A - Appropriations Account</td>
<td>BeginningPeriodOfAvailability</td>
<td>In annual and multi-year funds, the beginning period of availability identifies the first year of availability under law that an appropriation account may incur new obligations.</td>
<td>Conditional per Validation Rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File A - Appropriations Account</td>
<td>EndingPeriodOfAvailability</td>
<td>In annual and multi-year funds, the end period of availability identifies the last year of funds availability under law that an appropriation account may incur new obligations.</td>
<td>Conditional per Validation Rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File A - Appropriations Account</td>
<td>AvailabilityTypeCode</td>
<td>In appropriations accounts, the availability type code identifies an unlimited period to incur new obligations; this is denoted by the letter &quot;X&quot;.</td>
<td>Conditional per Validation Rule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File A - Appropriations Account</td>
<td>MainAccountCode</td>
<td>The main account code identifies the account in statute.</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATA Act Broker

**Agency Users**
- Inbound API
- Web Interface

**DATA Act Broker**
- Links
- Validates
- Standardizes

**Public Users**
- Outbound API (standardized, validated data)
- USAspending.gov

**Links**
**Validates**
**Standardizes**
Federal Agency Next Steps

- Update the mapping between agency data to the DATA Act reporting requirements
- Understand/inventory where data (or gaps) exist against the DATA Act reporting requirements
- Close gaps/capture data required in the DATA Act reporting requirements
- Ensure data is complete, accurate, and assess for overall data quality
- Prepare and test linked data from source systems
- Fix incorrect data in government-wide source systems (e.g. FPDS, ASP, SAM, FSRS)
For More Information

https://fedspendingtransparency.github.io/
Networking Break

WiFi Network: hhonors-meeting
Password: datasummit
Federal Keynote

Mike Peckham – @hhsgov, @HHS_DAP
Director, HHS DATA Act PMO

Adam Roth – @streamlinksw
StreamLink (moderator)
DATA Act Summit

DATA Act Section 5 Grants Pilot

May 26, 2016
Purpose & Discussion Topics

Purpose
To provide an overview of the Section 5 Grants Pilot Test Models in the context of the grants lifecycle.

Discussion Topics
- Section 5 Pilot Requirements
- Standardizing Reporting Elements
- Test Models within the Grants Lifecycle
- HHS Vision
- Participant Population
- Opportunities for Involvement
The goal of the Pilot is to implement Section 5 of the Digital Accountability and Transparency Act (DATA Act) of 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-101, which requires the Federal Government to, “establish a pilot program with the participation of appropriate Federal agencies to facilitate the development of recommendations for:

(A) standardized reporting elements across the Federal government (§5(b)(1)(A));

(B) the elimination of unnecessary duplication in financial reporting (§5(b)(1)(B));

(C) the reduction of compliance costs for recipients of Federal awards (§5(b)(1)(C)).”

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has partnered with HHS to serve as the executing agent for the Section 5 Grants Pilot.
Standardized reporting elements can help the Federal Government not only work more efficiently but also interact with the public in a more meaningful way.

• The term “Award ID” is considered a non-specific reference to a family of terms that are formatted differently and used for different operational purposes.
Test Models within the Grants Lifecycle

The Section 5 Grants Pilot Test Models fall into various phases within the Federal grants lifecycle.

### Federal Grants Lifecycle

- **Pre-Award Phase**
  - Learn Grants: Assess the effectiveness of Learn Grants for increasing a grant recipient’s knowledge of the grants lifecycle.
  - Common Data Element Repository (CDER) Library 1: Provide grant recipients with data element definitions to identify potential changes in accuracy and speed of grant lifecycle form completion.
  - Notice of Award – Proof of Concept (NOA – POC): Provide grant recipients with a standard NOA – POC cover sheet for Federal awards to populate a data collection tool. Identify how standardizing the NOA could result in efficiencies for grant recipients.
  - Single Audit: Provide non-Federal entities with the draft 2016 expanded Single Audit Concept Form (SF-SAC only), and allow participants to assess the potential to reduce grant recipient reporting burden.
  - Consolidated Federal Financial Reporting: Provide grant recipients with one consolidated process for submitting the FFR to identify potential time savings and/or improved accuracy by entering all information through one system.
  - CDER Library 2: Identify form duplication and update/reduce forms to reduce grant recipient burden.

- **Award Phase**

- **Post-Award Phase**
Pre-Award Phase: Learn Grants

Learn Grants is a tab on the Grants.gov website that provides grant recipients access to Federal grants lifecycle information.

Federal Grants Lifecycle

- **Pre-Award Phase**
- **Post-Award Phase**
- **Award Phase**

Learn Grants

Assess the effectiveness of Learn Grants for increasing a grant recipient’s knowledge of the grants lifecycle.
The CDER Library is designed to be a Federal-wide, online repository for grants-specific data standards, definitions, and context.

Federal Grants Lifecycle

Pre-Award Phase

CDER Library 1

Provide grant recipients with data element definitions to identify potential changes in accuracy and speed of grant lifecycle form completion.

Post-Award Phase

Award Phase
NOA – POC is a document containing information a grant recipient needs in order to perform routine accounting and finance operations.

Federal Grants Lifecycle

Pre-Award Phase

Post-Award Phase

Award Phase

NOA – POC

Provide grant recipients with a standard NOA – POC cover sheet for Federal awards to populate a data collection tool. Identify how standardizing the NOA could result in efficiencies for grant recipients.
Single Audit is an organization-wide financial statement and Federal awards’ audit.

**Federal Grants Lifecycle**

- **Pre-Award Phase**
- **Award Phase**
- **Post-Award Phase**

**Single Audit**

Provide non-Federal entities with the draft 2016 expanded Single Audit Concept Form (SF-SAC only), and allow participants to assess the potential to reduce grant recipient reporting burden.
The Federal Financial Report (FFR) is a form that Federal grant recipients are required to fill out and submit to their grant-awarding agency.

Federal Grants Lifecycle

Pre-Award Phase

Award Phase

Post-Award Phase

Consolidated Federal Financial Reporting

Provide grant recipients with one consolidated process for submitting the FFR to identify potential time savings and/or improved accuracy by entering all information through one system.
The CDER Library is designed to be a Federal-wide, online repository for grants-specific data standards, definitions, and context.

**Federal Grants Lifecycle**

- Pre-Award Phase
- Award Phase
- Post-Award Phase

**CDER Library 2**

Identify form duplication and update/reduce forms to reduce grant recipient burden.
Each component of the DATA Act Section 5 Grants Pilot ties to the HHS Vision.
The key to the success of the grants Pilot is your input and participation!
Opportunities for Involvement

There are several ways to participate in DATA Act activities.

- Send inquiries and feedback to DATAActPMO@hhs.gov.
- Visit the DAP Website at www.hhs.gov/dataactpmo.
- Follow DAP on Twitter at www.twitter.com/HHS_DAP.
- Visit the CDER Library at https://repository.usaspending.gov/poc-tool/.

For more information on the DATA Act, visit https://www.usaspending.gov/Pages/Data-Act.aspx.
Special Treasury DATA Demo

➔ Kaitlin Devine – @kaitlinbdevine
DATA Act Product Manager, Treasury Department

➔ Dean Ritz – @workiva
Workiva (moderator)
Plenary Address

➔ Liberating Data from DUNS:
Easier than You Think
Lunch
Inspector General Panel

Sponsored by Esri

➔ Michael E. Horowitz – @justiceoig
   Inspector General, Department of Justice

➔ David A. Montoya – @hudgov
   Inspector General, Department of Housing and Urban Development

➔ Tammy Whitcomb – @oigusps
   Acting Inspector General, Postal Service

➔ Jim Lisle – @ustreasury
   Director, Fiscal Service Audits, Office of Inspector General, Treasury Dept.

➔ Marcello Cavallaro – @esrifederalgovt
   Esri (moderator)
DATA Act Summit – IG Panel

Using geospatial tools, we mapped HUD Public Housing, including assisted housing units. Then using FEMA provided Hurricane Sandy storm surge satellite imagery we visually displayed the extent of storm surge and flood impact on HUD funded assets, which affected thousands of public housing residents.
A magnified look of HUD PHA assets in Atlantic City.
Atlantic City
- Storm Surge
- PHA Asset
GIS Case Study

Voyager Card Fuel Transactions 2012-2013

Notes:
Postal Routes were determined using a Network Analyst Tool to determine the most optimal route from the first destination to the last destination on a given route.

Coordinate System: WGS 1984
Datum Reference: UTM North, © 2012 NAVTEQ

Office of Inspector General
United States Postal Service
Map ID: FY1302
Tripwire Results

Tripwires have already contributed significantly to case development

- Mail Theft – 431 cases
- Financial Fraud – 92 cases
- Contract Fraud – 78 cases
- Claimant Fraud – 69 cases
Tripwire Case Study
OIG Suite of Tools

- PARIS Models – Designed for auditors to identify system issues
- GIS Mapping – Visualizing data geographically to identify patterns or hot-spots of fraud
- RADR – Risk-based models for investigators to identify potential fraud
- Tripwires – Narrowly focused dashboards to identify fraud in near-real time
DATA Act Oversight

[Image of the USA Spending website]

WHAT IS USA SPENDING.gov?
USA Spending.gov is the publicly accessible, searchable website mandated by the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 to give the American public access to a user-friendly, electronic database of Federal awards over $10,000. The website is designed to improve transparency and accountability in the use of Federal funds.

OVERVIEW OF AWARDS - FY 2015
The Federal agencies distribute funding through federal contracts, grants, loans, and other financial assistance. The USA Spending website provides an overview of the total obligated dollars, the number of transactions, and the breakdown of awards by major award type and state.
Oversight Requirements

Agency Inspectors General– 3 reviews

➢ In consultation with GAO, review a statistically valid sampling of the spending data submitted by the Federal agency. Submit to Congress and make publicly available, a report assessing

✓ completeness, timeliness, quality, and accuracy of the data sampled
✓ implementation and use of Data Standards by the Federal agency

✓ Reviews due November 2016, November 2018, November 2020

GAO – 3 Similar reviews on a government-wide perspective after review of IG reports (November 2017, November 2019, November 2021)
DATA Act Enacted
- May 9, 2014

Treasury/OMB Issue Data Standards
- By May 2015

Federal Agencies Start Using Data Standards
- By May 2017

1st IG Report
- By Nov 2016

1st GAO Report
- By Nov 2017

Treasury/OMB Ensure Data Standards Applied
- By May 2018

2nd IG Report
- By Nov 2018

2nd GAO Report
- By Nov 2019

3rd IG Report
- By Nov 2020 (IG)
- By Nov 2021 (GAO)

3rd GAO Report
- By Nov 2021
IG Community DATA Act Working Group

➢ Mission

Assist the IG Community in understanding and meeting its DATA Act oversight requirements by (1) serving as a working level liaison with Treasury, (2) consulting with the Government Accountability Office, (3) developing a common review approach and methodology, and (4) coordinating key communications with other stakeholders.

➢ Stood up January 2015
➢ Over 100 members from 32 OIGs
➢ Accomplishments to date
  ✓ Established consultative protocol with GAO
  ✓ Defined and communicated strategy for IG reporting anomaly
  ✓ Developed common methodology for “readiness reviews”
➢ Under Construction
  ➢ Update common methodology for readiness reviews
Addressing the IG Date Anomaly and Readiness Reviews

➢ CIGIE Letter to Congress (December 22, 2015)
  ✓ First agency IG report pushed back 1 year, to November 2017. Subsequent reports to follow in November 2019 and November 2021
  ✓ Encourage IGs to perform DATA Act “readiness reviews”
➢ Readiness review common methodology
✓ Issued early December 2015
✓ Focus on agency
  o 8-step DATA Act Playbook
  o Implementation Plans
✓ Consideration of shared services users and providers
✓ Progress towards planned milestones

➢ 14 Agencies have begun readiness reviews so far
Developing the IG Required Review Methodology

➢ Principal Working Group focus
➢ We are consulting with GAO
➢ Current Considerations
  ✓ Treasury/OMB guidance recently issued
  ✓ Agency management’s internal controls
  ✓ Leveraging financial audit test work
  ✓ Statistical sampling approach
Next Up - Breakout Sessions

1. Accountability
   - Patrick Bailey, Senate HSGAC Republican staff
   - Krista Boyd, House OGR Democratic staff
   - Michelle Sager, GAO
   - Sean Moulton, POGO
   - Robert Shea, Grant Thornton (moderator)
   COLUMBIA 11, 12

2. Financial Management
   - Sarah Lyberg, HUD
   - Tim Gribben, SBA
   - Dorrice Roth, Treasury Department
   - Tasha Austin, Deloitte (moderator)
   COLUMBIA 8

3. Recipient and Nonprofit Reporting
   - Mike Peckham, HHS
   - Allison Grayson, Independent Sector
   - Seth Unger, Ohio Treasurer’s Office
   - Gavin Hayman, Open Contracting
   - Hudson Hollister, Data Coalition (moderator)
   COLUMBIA 6

Sponsored by Deloitte.
Networking Break

WiFi Network: hhonors-meeting
Password: datasummit
Tell a new story with Data USA
Building visual narratives using public data
Financial Management Breakout
Sponsored by Deloitte

➔ Sarah Lyberg
Assistant CFO, Department of Housing and Urban Development

➔ Tim Gribben
Deputy CFO, Small Business Administration

➔ Dorrice Roth
Deputy CFO, Treasury Department

➔ Tasha Austin
Deloitte (moderator)

#DATAActSummit
Recipient and Nonprofit Reporting Breakout

➔ Bob Carlson
  Assistant Attorney General, State of Missouri

➔ Seth Unger
  Senior Advisor, Ohio State Treasurer Josh Mandel

➔ Allison Grayson
  Policy Director, Independent Sector

➔ Michael Peckham
  Director, HHS DATA Act Program Management Officer

➔ Gavin Hayman
  Executive Director, Open Contracting
Single Portal Charity Registration

DATA Act Summit
May 26, 2016

Bob Carlson
Assistant Attorney General
Missouri Attorney General’s Office
Why do we need Single Portal

• 39 states require nonprofits to register before soliciting donations
  – 39 different sets of required data
  – 39 different methods of filing, sometimes via paper forms
  – 39 different deadlines, bureaucracies, fee schedules, software systems, etc.
  – 39 methods of storing and producing data
Goals of Single Portal

• One single online portal where a nonprofit can enter all registration data just once to satisfy all state registration requirements.
  – Consolidate all state data needs into a single set
    • Ideally integrate with form 990 filing.
  – Deal with question of who registers where

• Create set of open data about charities available and accessible to everyone
Single Portal Challenges

• Consolidating 39 different sets of required data into single, compatible set
  – Narrow down data set to what we need.
  – Create a common language with each other and with the IRS’s Form 990
  – Can be difficult to operate within constraints of state statutes and Form 990 fields.
  – Almost there…
More Single Portal information at:
mrfpinc.org
Closing Keynote

➔ **Shelley Metzenbaum** – @smetzenbaum
   Former Associate Director, White House OMB

➔ **Hudson Hollister** – @hudsonhollister
   Data Coalition (moderator)
Closing Remarks

➔ Hudson Hollister – @hudsonhollister
   Executive Director, Data Coalition

➔ Ann Ebberts – @anneebberts
   CEO, Association of Government Accountants

➔ Herschel Chandler – @iui_herschel
   ACT-IAC